

How to Plan for Network Virtualization and SDN

Authored by



Sponsored in part by

NEC

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Crafting an NV and/or SDN Plan | 3 |
| Define NV and SDN..... | 3 |
| Identify the Primary Opportunities..... | 4 |
| Identify the Key Metrics..... | 4 |
| Define the Scope of Possible Solutions..... | 4 |
| Decide: Best of Breed vs. Systems Solution..... | 5 |
| Evaluate NV and/or SDN Solutions..... | 5 |
| Test and Certify Solutions..... | 7 |
| Integrate with the Existing Environment..... | 8 |
| Educate the Organization..... | 8 |
| Evaluate Professional services..... | 8 |
| Eliminate Organizational Resistance..... | 9 |
| Perform a POC..... | 9 |
| Obtain Management Buy-In..... | 9 |
| Summary and Conclusions | 10 |

Introduction

There is considerable confusion in the industry relative to network virtualization (NV) and software defined networking (SDN). As described in The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN¹, this confusion is understandable given the breadth of problems that these solutions are supposed to address combined with the variety of approaches that vendors are proposing. Further adding to the confusion is the embryonic nature of most of the solutions that are available in the marketplace, the current limited adoption of these solutions and the overall level of hype associated with NV and SDN.

Given this confusion, it would be understandable if an enterprise IT organization decided to take a wait and see attitude about NV and SDN. While that response would be understandable, it isn't the right approach to take either from the perspective of the IT organization or the IT professional. That follows in part because even though no reasonable person would claim to know in detail how network virtualization and SDN will evolve over the next several years, there is no doubt that:

- IT organizations need to solve the problems (e.g., support the dynamic movement of virtual machines, reduce operational complexity) that NV and SDN are designed to solve.
- Many of the characteristics of NV and SDN solutions (e.g., more reliance on software, increased use of automation) are already being broadly adopted within IT organizations.

There is no doubt that implementing NV and/or SDN presents risk, but that ignoring NV and SDN also presents significant risk to both enterprise IT organizations and to IT professionals. The risk to enterprise IT organizations is that by ignoring NV and SDN they remain unable to solve the problems that NV and SDN are designed to solve and this puts their company at a competitive disadvantage. The risk to IT professionals is that ignoring NV and SDN delays their coming up the learning curve on these new approaches which would result in a diminishing of the value they could provide either to their current employer or to a future employer.

Crafting an NV and/or SDN Plan

This section of this white paper outlines a process that a hypothetical company, that will be referred to as *GottaChange*, can use to plan for the implementation of NV and/or SDN. The intention is that IT organizations will customize this process for use in their environments.

Define NV and SDN

While some of the disagreement about what exactly is meant by NV and what exactly is meant by SDN has dissipated, there still isn't uniform agreement in the industry as to the precise definition of NV and/or SDN. *GottaChange* can't wait for the brouhaha surrounding the definition of NV and SDN to sort itself out. As part of developing an implementation plan, *GottaChange* must develop a definition of NV and/or SDN that is well understood and agreed to within their organization.

¹ <http://www.webtorials.com/content/2014/01/2013-guide-to-network-virtualization-sdn-3.html>

Identify the Primary Opportunities

In order to intelligently choose vendors, architectures and enabling technologies, *GottaChange* needs to first identify the primary opportunities that they are hoping to address by implementing NV and/or SDN. To assist with this process, Chapter 1 of The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN identified the primary use cases for NV and also presented market research that showed the interest that IT organizations had in each of the use cases. Chapter 2 of The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN did the same for SDN.

To exemplify the relationship between the opportunities and the various solutions being proposed by vendors, consider the fact that if the primary opportunity that is driving an IT organization is the need to support the dynamic movement, replication and allocation of virtual workloads, then an overlay-based NV solution is a viable candidate, as is a solution that implements NV by manipulating OpenFlow tables. An overlay-based NV solution unto itself, however, doesn't make it easier to respond to other opportunities such as making it easier to implement QoS, nor does it enable applications to dynamically request services from the network.

Identify the Key Metrics

Having identified the primary opportunities, *GottaChange* needs to identify the key business-related metrics that are associated with each opportunity. The principal use of these metrics is to enable the IT organization to create a business case for implementing NV and/or SDN. However, *GottaChange* should use these metrics throughout the evaluation process; i.e., evaluating solution architectures and performing a proof of concept.

In some cases the key business metrics may be obvious. For example, if one of the primary opportunities that *GottaChange* is trying to address is the centralization of configuration management and provisioning, then one of the key business metrics associated with that opportunity is likely to be labor savings. In contrast, if one of the primary opportunities is to enable business agility, it may be more difficult for *GottaChange* to identify one or more IT-related metrics that, if NV and/or SDN improve them, lead to measurable business value.

Define the Scope of Possible Solutions

Based on how *GottaChange* defines what they mean by a NV and/or SDN solution, it may or may not be possible for them to acquire a complete solution from a single vendor. For example, it is reasonable to consider a NV solution based on overlays to be a complete solution unto itself. Analogously, it is reasonable to think of one or more SDN controllers and the underlying network elements as being a complete solution. If *GottaChange* uses one or both of these approaches as their definition of an NV and/or SDN solution, then it is possible for *GottaChange* to buy a complete solution from a single vendor.

However, if *GottaChange* has an expanded definition of solution, it is less likely that they will be able to acquire a complete solution from a single vendor. An expanded definition of what *GottaChange* means by solution could include functionality such as orchestration; the L4 to L7 functions that are inserted into the service that is consumed by users; and the business applications that access the control information in the SDN controller.

Decide: Best of Breed vs. Systems Solution

As described above, based on how *GottaChange* defines what they mean by solution, it may be possible for them to acquire a complete NV and/or SDN solution from a single vendor; a.k.a., a systems solution. However, even if it is possible for *GottaChange* to buy a systems solution they may decide to at least explore the option of buying best of breed components from varying vendors. If *GottaChange* determines that they are willing to acquire components from varying vendors, *GottaChange* must evaluate the testing that was done on both the individual components as well as the complete solution; how the solution will be updated and tested over time; and whether or not there is a *single throat to choke*.

It's reasonable for *GottaChange* to think that if they are acquiring a complete NV and/or SDN solution from a single vendor, that the solution won't have interoperability issues. While that is a reasonable thought, IT organizations still need to request details of the testing that was performed by the vendor themselves, as well as the results of any third party testing that was performed. This testing is important both to demonstrate interoperability of the components of the solution as well as to identify the performance limits of the solution.

Evaluate NV and/or SDN Solutions

The process that *GottaChange* uses to evaluate NV and/or SDN solutions should be cyclical. As part of the first stage of the evaluation process, IT organizations perform a cursory evaluation of numerous vendors. The primary goal of the first stage of the evaluation process is to enable *GottaChange* to determine which solutions correspond to the opportunities that they are seeking to respond to and it also makes *GottaChange* aware of the varying approaches to SDN that the vendors have, each with their own value add. Upon completion of the first stage of the evaluation process, *GottaChange* is in a position to eliminate vendors from consideration and to begin a more detailed analysis on a small set of vendors. As described below, the result of this detailed analysis may well be the recommendation to go forward with a proof of concept (POC).

When evaluating a vendor's SDN solution, IT organizations need to understand the following aspects of those solutions.

- The Solution Architecture

This includes topics such as which components of the solution are provided by the vendor and which are provided by a partner; what functionality is done in hardware vs. in software; how much control is centralized in the SDN controller; what protocols are used within the solution; how the solution supports high availability and the level of abstraction that is provided by the controller's northbound API.

In addition, *GottaChange* must evaluate the various NV and/or SDN solutions based on their ability to respond to the opportunities that the IT organization has identified. For example, assume that one of the opportunities that the *GottaChange* has identified is being able to support the dynamic movement of VMs. Given that, then as part of the evaluation of solution architectures, *GottaChange* has to identify how each solution accomplishes this.

Chapter 2 of The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN contains a set of 7 key questions that *GottaChange* can ask vendors about the architecture of their SDN solutions.

- The Controller

GottaChange must evaluate the architecture of a number of NV and/or SDN controllers. For example, does the controller have a modular architecture that will enable the addition of new functionality over time? *GottaChange* also needs to understand how the controller's architecture enables scalability, high availability and performance. At the author's web site² is a white paper that discusses ten criteria that IT organization should use to evaluate SDN controllers³.

- The Network Elements

Most overlay-based NV solutions are network agnostic. If that is the type of solution that *GottaChange* is evaluating, then it is highly likely that there isn't a need for them to evaluate the physical network elements on which the potential NV solutions run. *GottaChange* will want to determine, however, which vSwitches the solution supports.

However, if *GottaChange* is evaluating solutions that closely resemble the Open Network Foundation (ONF) definition of SDN⁴, then *GottaChange* should ask the vendors questions such as:

1. Which switches, both virtual and physical, support your SDN solution? For OpenFlow-enabled switches, identify whether the switch is a pure OpenFlow switch or a hybrid OpenFlow switch.
2. What protocols do you support between the control layer and the infrastructure layer of your proposed solution? What network behaviors are enabled by these protocols and what types of services can be constructed using those behaviors?
3. If Open Flow is supported, what versions have been implemented? What required features of the supported version are not included in the implementation? Indicate which of the optional features it supports. Describe any significant vendor-specific extensions that have been made.
4. If one of the switches in your proposed solution is in SDN mode, are there any types of traffic that must be processed partially in software before being forwarded?
5. If one of the switches in your proposed solution is in hybrid mode, does that have any impact on the behavior of the traditional component of the switch? If yes, explain.

- Management

There are two aspects of NV and/or SDN management that *GottaChange* needs to evaluate. One aspect is the ability of the vendor's solution to alleviate the management challenges created by NV and/or SDN. Based on the type of solution that *GottaChange* is considering, this may include monitoring the performance of the controller; providing end-to-end visualization of the virtual networks; configuring the SDN switches and monitoring the physical and logical networks between switches. The second aspect of management that *GottaChange* needs to evaluate is the integration of the management of NV and/or SDN into a broader management solution.

Chapter 2 of The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN contains a set of 5 key questions that *GottaChange* can ask vendors about the management of their SDN solutions.

² www.ashtonmetzler.com

³ Ibid.

⁴ <https://www.opennetworking.org/sdn-resources/sdn-definition>

- Security

There are also two aspects of security that *GottaChange* needs to evaluate. One aspect is what functionality the vendor provides in order to secure their NV and/or SDN solution. One of the reasons this is important is because the NV and SDN controllers are new attack surfaces. The other aspect of security that needs to be evaluated is the ability of the solution to enhance the overall security of the IT infrastructure. An example of how SDN can potentially improve security is demonstrated by the Open DayLight consortium's SDN controller which contains a toolset that can be used for the detection and mitigation of DDoS attacks.

Chapter 2 of The 2013 Guide to Network Virtualization and SDN contains a set of 5 key questions that *GottaChange* can ask vendors about the security of their SDN solutions.

- Additional Functionality

There are two approaches that an IT organization can take relative to implementing network functions that ride on the SDN controller. One approach is to acquire the network functions from a vendor. One example of vendor provided network functions is NEC's Virtual Tenant Networking functionality. Since most IT organizations will acquire network functions from vendors, evaluating vendor supplied network functions is a key component of the overall process of evaluating SDN solutions.

The second approach is for the IT organization to develop some or all of the required network functionality itself. The primary advantage of this approach is that it enables the IT organization to customize the network functions to meet the organization's specific requirements. One of the disadvantages of this approach is that it requires the IT organization to have the set of skills that are necessary both to develop the network functions and to maintain those functions over their life cycle.

GottaChange should use the process of evaluating NV and/or SDN solutions to determine if it can acquire all of the network functions it needs to respond to the opportunities that it has identified or if it has to develop some or all of those functions itself.

Test and Certify Solutions

Even if all of the components of an NV or SDN solution come from a single vendor, as part of evaluating those solutions *GottaChange* needs to understand the testing that was done to ensure both the smooth operation and the performance of the solution. Particularly in those situations in which the components of the SDN solution come from multiple vendors, *GottaChange* needs to understand if the solution is certified. By that is meant, if *GottaChange* implements the solution, will it have a single point of contact to resolve any problems that develop.

There may be instances in which *GottaChange* has to either do testing itself or to commission a third party to do testing on its behalf. For example, if *GottaChange* were to develop one or more network functions, it would need to test the operation of those functions on the controller(s) that it had selected and it would need to redo that testing prior to implementing new versions of the controller or new versions of the network functions. If *GottaChange* anticipates facing a situation like this then as part of the evaluation process, *GottaChange* needs to evaluate both the tools that are available to enable the organization to do the testing itself as well as the functionality provided by external test labs.

Integrate with the Existing Environment

It is certainly possible for *GottaChange* to evaluate NV and/or SDN solutions in isolation from the IT organization's current environment. However, given that the NV and/or SDN solution might at some time be implemented in *GottaChange*'s production network, then as part of the evaluation process *GottaChange* should examine how the SDN solution would fit into the existing infrastructure. For example, what mechanisms exist to enable traffic to flow between the SDN solution and the traditional network? Is it possible to extend the SDN solution so that it operates both in a data center and in a branch office? So that the solution operates in multiple data centers?

Educate the Organization

Both NV and SDN are both embryonic and rapidly evolving. Hence, in order to create and update a plan to potentially implement one or both of these architectures, *GottaChange* must continually educate itself as to what is happening in the broad NV and/or SDN ecosystem. This certainly includes analyzing what is being said in the industry about the relevant use cases and the techniques that can be used to justify deployment. It also includes reviewing product announcements; the announcement of enabling technologies that are either new or have evolved; the results of plugfests that are intended to test the interoperability of SDN solutions; and the work of organizations such as the Open DayLight consortium.

Much of the education discussed in the preceding paragraph can be accomplished by reading articles and white papers and by attending seminars and workshops. *GottaChange* should also consider downloading some of the open source products that are readily available and playing with those solutions to gain deeper insight into their capabilities and weaknesses. In addition, the author has published a mock RFI for SDN solutions that is hosted at the author's web site (www.ashtonmetzler.com). *GottaChange* can use this document to structure a dialogue with selected vendors.

Evaluate Professional services

Given that SDN is a new way of implementing networking, *GottaChange* may choose to use a professional services organization to help with one or more stages in the overall Plan, Design, Implement and Operations (PDIO) lifecycle. The relevant services that *GottaChange* might use could be technology centric (e.g., developing SDN designs, testing SDN solutions), organization centric (e.g., evaluating the skills of the current organization, identifying the skills that are needed and creating a way to develop those skills) or process centric; e.g., evaluating the current processes and developing new ones. These services could be light-weight (i.e., the professional services organization provides limited support) or heavy-weight whereby the professional services organization provides significant support. They may also be consumed just as part of an initial rollout of NV and/or SDN or they could be consumed over an extended period of time as The Company extends its deployment of NV and/or SDN.

If *GottaChange* is considering leveraging professional services from a third party, then as part of the overall evaluation process, *GottaChange* needs to evaluate the professional services that are provided, both by the potential providers of the NV and/or SDN solution as well as from independent providers of professional services.

Eliminate Organizational Resistance

Organizations tend to resist change and the amount of resistance is typically directly proportional to the extent of the change. Hence, if *GottaChange* is looking at a narrowly defined SDN solution, such as one that implements a network tap application, it can expect minimum organizational resistance. Conversely, if *GottaChange* is looking at a broadly defined SDN solution, then it must anticipate significant organizational resistance.

Organizations are particularly resistant to change if that change is likely to have a significant impact on jobs. Both NV and SDN have the potential to impact the jobs of network professionals. For example, the deployment of NV and/or SDN is likely to reduce the amount of manual labor that *GottaChange* has to perform and is likely to increase the amount of programming that *GottaChange* chooses to perform. As part of planning for NV and/or SDN, *GottaChange* needs to anticipate resistance from the network organization and respond accordingly. For example, *GottaChange* may sponsor members of its network organization achieving some of the new certifications that various NV and/or SDN vendors have recently announced.

It is important to recognize that a number of other factors are also impacting the jobs of IT professionals. This includes mobility, the virtualization of servers and desktops, the convergence of technologies (i.e., networks, servers, compute) and the broad and growing adoption of varying forms of cloud computing. As a result, *GottaChange*'s NV and/or SDN initiatives may be just one more factor contributing to the need for *GottaChange*'s IT organization to take a broad look at the skills it will need on a going forward basis and to implement a plan to develop those skills. As previously noted, *GottaChange* has the option of leveraging a professional services provider to perform a skills assessment of *GottaChange*'s IT organization.

Perform a POC

Assuming that the previous steps in their plan have produced positive results, *GottaChange* may well elect to perform a POC. The breadth of the POC is directly related to how *GottaChange* has scoped the proposed NV and/or SDN solution and the length of the POC is directly related to the criticality of the tasks that the solution is intended to support.

One goal of a POC is to determine if indeed the proposed solution works and if so, how well it performs. Another goal is to quantify the previously defined key metrics that are associated with each opportunity that *GottaChange* is hoping to address. Quantifying these metrics is necessary in order to obtain the management buy-in that is required to put the solution into production.

Obtain Management Buy-In

GottaChange's network organization needs varying levels of management buy-in at the various stages of their NV and/or SDN plan. For example, little if any management buy-in is needed just for members of *GottaChange*'s network organization to attend a seminar or workshop and in many cases, little buy-in is needed in order for them to download open source solutions and to spend a modest amount of time coming to understand the functionality and the limitations of those solutions. Increasing levels of management buy-in are typically needed to engage vendors in detailed discussions of NV and/or SDN, to conduct a POC or to implement an NV or SDN solution.

GottaChange is more likely to get management buy-in if they anticipate management's concerns and work to resolve those concerns over the entire planning cycle. For example, like virtually all organizations, *GottaChange* will likely face management resistance to implementing any technology or new way of delivering technology if the associated security and compliance concerns are not thoroughly addressed. In addition, *GottaChange* will likely face management resistance if any of *GottaChange*'s key processes are impacted.

Like virtually all IT organizations, *GottaChange* will need to develop some form of business case to justify implementing NV and/or SDN. There are three primary components to the business case that *GottaChange* has to develop. One component is the quantification of the benefits that will occur if *GottaChange* implements the proposed NV and/or SDN solution. As noted, one of the primary reasons for performing a POC is to quantify those benefits. Another component of the business case is a multi-year financial analysis that details all of the costs as well as the benefits that are associated with implementing the proposed solution. The third component of the business case is an analysis of what *GottaChange*'s IT organization will do to mitigate the risk that is associated with implementing the proposed solution. In addition to mitigating the risk associated with the solution not performing well, this includes mitigating the previously mentioned concerns that management has about issues such as security, compliance and existing processes.

Summary and Conclusions


There is no doubt that over the next few years that NV and SDN will have a significant impact both on enterprise networks and on the role of network professionals. Because of that, IT organizations and IT professionals need to develop a plan to evaluate and potentially implement NV and/or SDN.

Given the embryonic and rapidly changing nature of NV and SDN, any implementation plan will likely evolve over time. The process that a company such as *GottaChange* should take to evaluate solutions and possibly implement one or more solutions includes the following steps:

1. Define NV and SDN
2. Identify the Primary Opportunities
3. Identify the Key Metrics
4. Define the Scope of Possible Solutions
5. Evaluate NV and/or SDN Solutions
6. Test and Certify Solutions
7. Integrate with the Existing Environment
8. Educate the Organization
9. Evaluate Professional Services
10. Eliminate Organizational Resistance
11. Perform a POC
12. Obtain Management Buy-In

Take Control of Your Network with NEC ProgrammableFlow® Networking Suite

NEC ProgrammableFlow Networking Suite, the first commercially available Software-Defined Network solution to leverage the OpenFlow protocol, enables full network virtualization and allows enterprises, data centers and service providers to easily and cost-effectively deploy, control, monitor and manage secure multi-tenant networks.



ProgrammableFlow SDN At a glance

- Simple, scalable, secure, application-aware networks with a complete enterprise and carrier-class Software-defined Networking (SDN) solution, including the award-winning Unified Network Coordinator, an orchestrator of SDN controllers, the ProgrammableFlow PF6800 SDN controller, physical and virtual network switches, and an Ecosystem of partners.
- Best-in-Class interoperability and investment protection, including support of the 1.3 and 1.0 OpenFlow standard, and demonstrated operations with multiple switch vendors
- Network-wide virtualization, featuring a high performance, resilient network fabric offering high availability and management of network flows up to 1 Terabyte
- Granular, end-to-end network visibility and control from a central point for dynamic, policy-based network management featuring advanced automation
- Multi-tenant capabilities enable isolated, secure networking to meet stringent compliance and regulatory requirements
- Integrated network and compute orchestration with OpenStack and Microsoft System Center Virtual Machine Manager for greater agility and streamlined operations

Overview

While today you can spin up hundreds of virtual machines in literally minutes, it will typically take weeks or even longer to provision the supporting network services. This bottleneck, a direct result of the static nature of legacy networks, coupled with extreme complexity, vendor lock-in, and a dependence on error-prone manual processes, are examples of the driving forces behind a new, open and programmable network paradigm.


OpenFlow based Software-defined Networking attacks these issues head-on, de-coupling network control from data flow, and then centralizing and automating configuration and control. The result: An open, simple, scalable and secure network suitable to the changing business needs of today.

The OpenFlow-based NEC ProgrammableFlow Networking Suite was the first enterprise-class SDN solution to be commercially available, operating today in production environments on a global basis. First introduced in May of 2011, the current Version 5 offers a high-performance, carrier-strength network fabric, complete with a centralized SDN controller that supports the OpenFlow 1.3 protocol, physical and virtual switches, including hybrid offerings that can work in both OpenFlow and traditional networks.

For more information

Visit NEC Corporation of America at www.necam.com/sdn to learn more about our market-leading Software-defined Networking solutions, or call your NEC account manager or reseller today.

Network programmability is key to providing faster and better services



Empowered by Innovation

NEC

Corporate Headquarters (Japan)
NEC Corporation
www.nec.com

Oceania (Australia)
NEC Australia Pty Ltd
www.nec.com.au

North America (USA & Canada)
NEC Corporation of America
www.necam.com

Asia
NEC Corporation
www.nec.com

Europe (EMEA)
NEC Unified Solutions
www.nec-unified.com

About NEC Corporation of America Headquartered in Irving, Texas, NEC Corporation of America is a leading provider of innovative IT, network and communications products and a pioneer in OpenFlow-based Software-defined Networking. NEC Corporation of America delivers one of the industry's broadest portfolios of technology solutions and professional services, including unified communications, wireless, voice and data, managed services, server and storage infrastructure, optical network systems, microwave radio communications and biometric security. NEC Corporation of America is a wholly-owned subsidiary of NEC Corporation, a global technology leader with operations in 30 countries and more than \$38.5 billion in revenues. For more information, please visit www.necam.com.

© 2014 NEC Corporation of America. All rights reserved. NEC and NEC logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of NEC Corporation that may be registered in Japan and other jurisdictions. All trademarks identified with ® or ™ are registered trademarks or trademarks respectively. Models may vary for each country. Please refer to your local NEC representatives for further details. Microsoft System Center Virtual Machine Manager, Microsoft Windows Server 2012 and Microsoft Hyper-V are all registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation.